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## N-[2-(Aminosulfonyl)-5,6-dihydro-6-methyl-7,7-dioxo-4H-thieno[2,3-b]-thiopyran-4-yl]acetamide

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, has been synthesized as a key intermediate in the synthesis of topically active carbonic anhydrase inhibitor MK-0507. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds are responsible for the formation of centrosymmetric dimers and zigzag molecular chains. The intermolecular non-bonded S..O separation in the crystal structure is $3.415(2) \AA$, indicating a strong intermolecular interaction between the aminosulfonyl and $S$-dioxide groups.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), is a key intermediate in the total synthesis of topically active carbonic anhydrase inhibitor MK0507 (Blacklock et al., 1993; Thomas et al., 1997).

(I)

The molecular structure and atom-labelling scheme are shown in Fig. 1. The shortest intermolecular S...O contact, between S 1 and O3 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$, is 3.415 (2) $\AA$ [symmetry code: (i) $-x+\frac{3}{2}$, $\left.y+\frac{1}{2}, z\right]$, indicating a strong intermolecular interaction between these two atoms. In addition, there are also weak S $\cdots$ C interactions [non-bonded separation $=3.858(3) \AA$ ], as well as S . . S short contacts [3.949> (4) Å] (Lan et al., 2005).

Further examination of the crystal structure of (I) reveals possible $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions (Table 1). Intermolecular $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ hydrogen bonds [symmetry code: $\left.(i)-x+\frac{3}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z\right]$ form zigzag molecular chains propagating in the $b$-axis direction, while $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{11}$ hydrogen bonds [symmetry code: (ii) $-x+2,-y+2,-z+1$ ] form centrosymmetric dimers, as shown in Fig. 2.

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized from methyl 3 -( $p$-toluenesulfonyloxy)butyrate according to a literature method (Blacklock et al., 1993; Ponticello et al., 1987, 1988). Single crystals of (I) were grown by slow evaporation, in air, of a THF solution. Selected analytical data: pale-yellow solid, yield $89 \%$; m.p. $524-525 \mathrm{~K} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.62(d, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(s, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(s, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.19(m, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(m, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(m, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(m, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(s, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
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$1.33(d, 3 H)$; IR (KBr) v: 3337, 3240, 3080, 2939, 1654, 1523, 1449, 1334, 1303, 1170, 1138, 1035, 926, 873, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$
$M_{r}=338.41$
Orthorhombic, Pbca
$a=9.842(3) \AA$
$b=15.290(5) \AA$
$c=19.434(6) \AA$
$V=2924.5(15) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.537 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 1543
reflections
$\theta=2.7-21.2^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.53 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=273$ (2) K
Prism, yellow
$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.902, T_{\text {max }}=0.949$
13578 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.039$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.114$
$S=0.96$
2865 reflections
189 parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H-atom parameters constrained } \\
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0614 P)^{2}\right] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.35 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.32 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.81(4)$ | $2.14(4)$ | $2.876(4)$ | $150(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.85(3)$ | $2.14(3)$ | $2.962(4)$ | $161(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 D \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.86 | 2.07 | $2.861(3)$ | 152 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.98 | 2.52 | $3.346(3)$ | 142 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{iv}}$ | 0.97 | 2.66 | $3.623(4)$ | 174 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0.98 | 2.53 | $3.276(3)$ | 132 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+\frac{3}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z$; (ii) $-x+2,-y+2,-z+1$; (iii) $x+\frac{1}{2}, y,-z+\frac{3}{2}$; (iv) $x-\frac{1}{2}, y,-z+\frac{3}{2} ;$ (v) $-x+1,-y+2,-z+1$.

H atoms were included using a riding model and were constrained to have $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95 \AA, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}=0.86 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the parent atom. H atoms attached to N 2 were refined freely.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: SMART; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997b).
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Figure 1
The molecular structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.


Figure 2
The crystal structure of (I), viewed in two directions. Dashed lines indicate $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds.
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